

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/614,011	07/08/2003	Yoshihaya Imamura	239965US3	9522	
22850	7590 04/01/2		EXAM	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			JONES, DAVID B		
1940 DUKE ALEXANDI	STREET RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
11221111121	,		3725		

DATE MAILED: 04/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٠		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/614,011	IMAMURA, YOSHIHAYA			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		David B Jones	3725			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)[	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.	21				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)⊠ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•	4.	•				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 3/29/2004.	5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/614,011

Art Unit: 3725

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. On line 3 of claim 6, "the back of the flange" lacks antecedent basis.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1, 2, 7-9, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yablochnikov (hereinafter "Yab"). Yab teaches the claimed invention including pressing the exterior of tube 12 against a mold piece 34B (See Fig. 9). Regarding claim 9, the mold 24B is considered to have a curved surface.

- 3. Claims 1-3, 5 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gibson et al. or Daehn et al. Gibson (Column 5, lines 1-5 and claim 9 and Figs. 3-10) and Daehn (Fig. 13b) both teach the claimed invention of inserting a coil within a tubular member and forming what can be called a flange thereon as broadly recited.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,011 Page 3

Art Unit: 3725

itself under routine experimentation.

5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daehn et al. or Gibson et al. Both the prior art references teach the claimed invention excepting the particular power level used in the electromagnetic former and the thickness of material used in the workpiece. The prior art is silent as to this subject. Yet it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used what ever thickness of material desired to arrive at a desired product; the amount of energy used to satisfactorily form the workpiece with a electromagnetic former would have manifest

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David B. JONES whose telephone number is (703) 308-1887.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

In the event that the Applicant(s) wishes to communicate via Fax, the current central Fax number for the patent office is (703) 872-0906

DBJ

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3725